Weighted L¹-semigroup approach for nonlinear Fokker–Planck equations and generalized Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes

Marco Rehmeier Scuola Normale Superiore Pisa & Bielefeld University Funded by DFG - Project number 517982119.

15.11.2023

R. Weighted L¹-semigroup approach for nonlinear Fokker–Planck equations and generalized Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes, arXiv:2308.09420, submitted.



Motivation: FPEs, SDES and Markov processes

Weighted *L*¹-semigroup approach

- Construction of solution semigroups
- Superposition principle and nonlinear perturbed generalized OU-processes
- Nonlinear Markov property

FPEs, SDEs, Markov processes: Linear case

For $a = (a_{ij})_{i,j \leq d} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, b = (b_i)_{i \leq d} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ Borel measurable, consider linear Fokker–Planck equation (FPE)

$$\partial_t \mu_t = \partial_{ij}^2 (a_{ij}(t,x)\mu_t) - \partial_i (b_i(t,x)\mu_t), \quad t \ge s, \quad \mu_s = \zeta \in \mathscr{P}, \quad (\ell \mathsf{FPE})$$

 $\mathscr{P} = \text{prob.} \text{ measures on } \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$

Corresponding SDE, with $\frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma^{T} = a$, is

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dB_t, \quad t \ge s, \quad X_s \sim \zeta.$$
 (SDE)

Recall:

- X solution to (SDE) $\implies (\mu_t)_{t \ge s} := (\mathscr{L}_{X_t})_{t \ge s}$ solves (ℓ FPE).
- $(\mu_t)_{t \ge s}$ solution to $(\ell \text{FPE}) \implies \exists$ solution X to (SDE) with $\mathscr{L}_{X_t} = \mu_t$.
- If (*l*FPE) is well-posed, so is (SDE), and unique solution laws of the latter are a Markov process with one-dim. marginals equal to (*l*FPE)-solutions.

This connection to Markov processes fails, if the FPE is nonlinear, i.e.

$$\partial_t \mu_t = \partial_{ij}^2 ig(\mathsf{a}_{ij}(t, \mu_t, x) \mu_t ig) - \partial_i ig(b_i(t, \mu_t, x) \mu_t ig), \quad t \ge s, \quad \mu_s = \zeta, \ (\mathsf{n}_\ell \mathsf{FPE})$$

since the corresponding stochastic equation is distribution-dependent:

$$dX_t = b(t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t}, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t}, X_t)dB_t, \quad t \ge s, \quad X_s \sim \zeta, \quad (\mathsf{DDSDE})$$

and even in well-posedness cases, solutions to DDSDEs do NOT satisfy the usual Markov property.

To build a theory as in the linear case, in [R./Röckner22] we introduced and studied a notion of *nonlinear Markov processes*, and proved:

Given a semigroup of solutions to a nIFPE, there is a nonlinear Markov process, consisting of solution laws to the DDSDE with one-dim. marginals given by the semigroup.

This gives a *probabilistic representation* of nIFPE-solutions as marginals of nonlinear Markov processes.

Note: We do NOT need uniqueness of the nIFPE.

Nemytskii-type FPEs = interesting class of PDEs

Consider local, singular dependence of coefficients on measure:

$$a(t,\mu,x) = \tilde{a}\left(t,\frac{d\mu}{dx}(x),x\right), \ b(t,\mu,x) = \tilde{b}\left(t,\frac{d\mu}{dx}(x),x\right),$$

for $\tilde{a}: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\tilde{b}: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$. Rewritting the FPE for densities $t \mapsto u_t = \frac{d\mu_t}{dx}$ gives a nonlinear PDE:

$$du_t = \partial_{ij}^2 (a_{ij}(t, u_t, x)u_t) - \partial_i (b_i(t, u_t, x)u_t), \quad t \ge s, \quad u_t(x)dx \xrightarrow{t \to s} \zeta.$$

Interesting PDEs are of this type: e.g. Burgers, classical and generalized PME, 2D vorticity Navier–Stokes. For all of these:

There is a nonlinear Markov process with one-dim. marginals equal to solutions to this equation, see [R./Röckner22], [BarbuRöcknerZhang23].

Next goals:

- Develop theory of nonlinear Markov processes and apply it to nonlinear PDEs and DDSDE to prove new results.
- Solve new classes of nIFPE and link them to nonlinear Markov processes. **This we do today!**

Good method to construct solution semigroups for Nemytskii-type FPE: Crandall–Liggett nonlinear semigroup approach in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}; dx)$. This way, [BarbuRöckner21] solved

$$\partial_t u(t) = \Delta \beta(u(t)) - \operatorname{div} (D(x)b(u(t))u(t))$$

for curves of probability densities $t \mapsto u(t)$. Then: Connection to DDSDE, nonlinear Markov processes...

Motivation: FPEs, SDES and Markov processes

Weighted *L*¹-semigroup approach

- Construction of solution semigroups
- Superposition principle and nonlinear perturbed generalized OU-processes
- Nonlinear Markov property

Motivation: FPEs, SDES and Markov processes

Weighted L^1 -semigroup approach

- Construction of solution semigroups
- Superposition principle and nonlinear perturbed generalized OU-processes
- Nonlinear Markov property

Our goal: Solve

$$\partial_t u = \Delta\beta(u) - \nabla\Phi \cdot \nabla\beta(u) - \operatorname{div}_{\rho}(D(x)b(u)u)$$
 (FP_{\rho})

by semigroup method in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \rho dx)$, where

 $ho: \mathbb{R}^d o \mathbb{R}$ L^1 -(probability) density, $\Phi = -\log
ho$ (i.e. $ho = e^{-\Phi}$),

div_{ρ} = dual of ∇ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \rho dx)$.

Example: $\rho(x) = e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}, \Phi = \frac{|x|^2}{2}$ (Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes, see later).

Theorem (R.23)

Assume the following hypothesis. (H1) $0 \le \Phi \in C^2$ is convex with $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \Phi(x) = \infty$, and $\nabla \Phi \in L^1(\rho)$. (H2) $\beta \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\beta'(r) > 0$ for $r \ne 0$, and $\beta(0) = 0$. (H3) $b \ge 0$, $b \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$. (H4) $D \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $div_{\rho} D \in L^2_{loc'}$ $(div_{\rho} D)^- \in L^{\infty}$. Then, for each $u_0 \in L^1(\rho dx) \cap L^{\infty}$, there is a mild and distributional solution $u = u(u_0) \in C([0, T]; L^1(\rho dx))$ with

$$|u(t)|_{\infty} \leq \exp\left(|(\operatorname{div}_{
ho} D)^{-} + |D||_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}t\right)|u_{0}|_{\infty}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, $S(t): u_0 \mapsto u(t)$, is a semigroup of $L^1(\rho)$ -contractions, i.e.

$$S(t+s)u_0 = S(t)S(s)u_0, \ S(0) = Id,$$

$$|S(t)u_0 - S(t)v_0|_{L^1(\rho)} \le |u_0 - v_0|_{L^1(\rho)}$$

If $u_0(x)\rho(x)$ is a probability density, so is each $u(t)\rho(x)$.

If in addition β is Lipschitz, everything holds for $u_0 \in L^1(\rho)$ instead of $u_0 \in L^1(\rho) \cap L^{\infty}$.

Definition

(i) Let $A: D(A) \subseteq X \to X$ be a nonlinear operator in a Banach space X. A mild solution to

$$\frac{d}{dt}u(t)=-A(u(t)),\quad u(0)=u_0,\quad t\geq 0,$$

is $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ such that $u = \lim_{h \to 0}^X u_h$ locally uniformly in time,

$$u_{h}(0) := u_{h}^{0} := u_{0},$$

$$u_{h}(t) := u_{h}^{i}, \forall t \in ((i-1)h, ih], i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$u_{h}^{i} \in D(A), u_{h}^{i} + hAu_{h}^{i} = u_{h}^{i-1}.$$

(ii) A mild solution to (FP_{ρ}) is a mild solution in $X = L^{1}(\rho dx)$ with

$$A_0(u) = -\Delta\beta(u) - \nabla\Phi \cdot \nabla\beta(u) - div_\rho(D(x)b(u)u).$$

Idea of proof: Apply Crandall-Liggett theorem, i.e.

A m-accretive $\implies \forall u_0 \in \overline{D(A)}^X : \exists ! mild sol. to \frac{d}{dt}u(t) = -Au(t), u(0) = u_0,$ forming a semigroup of $|\cdot|_X$ -contractions.

A is *m-accretive*, if

$$R(\mathsf{Id}+\lambda A)=X, \forall \lambda>0 \text{ and } |(\mathsf{Id}+\lambda A)^{-1}f-(\mathsf{Id}+\lambda A)^{-1}g|_X\leq |f-g|_X.$$

For A_0 as above, one can prove the full-range-condition in $(X, |\cdot|_X) = (L^1(\rho dx) \cap L^{\infty}, |\cdot|_{L^1(\rho)})$, and there exists an *m*-accretive restriction $A \subseteq A_0$. Then, by small relaxations of the original C.-L.-proof the existence claim follows.

Price to pay: Since range- and contraction property only in $L^1(\rho dx) \cap L^{\infty}$, cannot conclude uniqueness of the mild solution, only uniqueness among solutions approximated by step functions with values in $L^1(\rho dx) \cap L^{\infty}$.

Solving

$$v + \lambda A v = f$$
, $f \in L^1(\rho dx) \cap L^\infty$

similar to [BarbuRöckner21]: Approximate A by smooth A_{ε} , $\varepsilon > 0$, and use

symmetry of
$$Lv := \Delta v - \nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla v$$
 in $L^2(\rho dx)$,

i.e. $(L, L^2(\rho dx))$ replaces $(\Delta, L^2(dx))$ in [BarbuRöckner21].



Weighted L^1 -semigroup approach

- Construction of solution semigroups
- Superposition principle and nonlinear perturbed generalized OU-processes
- Nonlinear Markov property

Superposition principle: For any weakly continuous distributional probability density solution $t \mapsto u_t$ to the Nemytskii-type nIFPE

$$du_t = \partial_{ij}^2 (a_{ij}(t, u_t(x), x)u_t) - \partial_i (b_i(t, u_t(x), x)u_t), \quad t \ge s, \qquad (1)$$

there exists a weak solution X to

$$dX_t = b(t, u_t(X_t), X_t)dt + \sigma(t, u_t(X_t), X_t)dB_t, \quad t \geq s$$

with $\mathscr{L}_{X_t} = u_t(x)dx$. Note:

$$\partial_t u = \Delta \beta(u) - \nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla \beta(u) - \operatorname{div}_{\rho}(D(x)b(u)u)$$

appears not of the above type. **But:** due to symmetry of $\Delta - \nabla \Phi$ in $L^2(\rho dx)$: Distributional formulation (i.e. integrating with test fct., putting derivatives to test fct.) is of same type as (1).

Hence: Superposition principle applies: For any weakly continuous solution $t \mapsto u(t,x)$ such that $u(t,x)\rho(x)dx \in \mathscr{P}$ to

$$\partial_t u = \Delta \beta(u) - \nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla \beta(u) - \operatorname{div}_{\rho}(D(x)b(u)u),$$

there exists a weak solution X to

$$dX_{t} = \left[D(X_{t})b(v(t,X_{t})\rho^{-1}(X_{t})) - \frac{\beta(v(t,X_{t})\rho^{-1}(X_{t}))}{v(t,X_{t})\rho^{-1}(X_{t})}\nabla\Phi(X_{t}) \right] dt + \sqrt{2\frac{\beta(v(t,X_{t})\rho^{-1}(X_{t}))}{v(t,X_{t})\rho^{-1}(X_{t})}} dB_{t},$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_{t}} = v(t,x)dx := u(t,x)\rho(x)dx.$$

 \implies We can solve this SDE for initial data

$$\mathscr{L}_{X_0} = u(0,x)\rho(x)dx, \ u(0,\cdot) \in L^1(\rho dx) \cap L^{\infty}.$$

This SDE is a model for *nonlinear generalized perturbed* Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes. Indeed: For $\beta = \text{Id}$, $\Phi = \frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{2}$ and D = 0, it reduces to

$$dX_t = -X_t dt + dB_t.$$



Motivation: FPEs, SDES and Markov processes

Weighted L^1 -semigroup approach

- Construction of solution semigroups
- Superposition principle and nonlinear perturbed generalized OU-processes
- Nonlinear Markov property

We want to show: The family of solution path laws \mathbb{P}_{u_0} , $u_0 \in L^1(\rho dx) \cap L^{\infty}$, to the above SDE with initial datum $u_0\rho dx \in \mathscr{P}$ and one-dim. marginals

$$\mathbb{P}_{u_0}\circ\pi_t^{-1}=S(t)u_0,\quad t\geq 0,$$

is a *nonlinear Markov process*, i.e. satisfies the following definition. Set $\mathscr{F}_r := \sigma(\pi_s, 0 \le s \le r) \subseteq \mathscr{B}(C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)).$

Definition

 $\{\mathbb{P}_{u_0}, u_0 \in L^1(\rho dx) \cap L^{\infty}\}$ is a nonlinear Markov process, if $\forall A \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 \leq r \leq t, u_0 \in L^1(\rho dx) \cap L^{\infty}$

$$\mathbb{P}_{u_0}(\pi_t \in A|\mathscr{F}_r)(\cdot) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{S}(r)u_0}(\pi_{t-r} \in A|\pi_0 = \pi_r(\cdot)) \mathbb{P}_{u_0} - \text{a.s.}$$

We can apply the following result:

Theorem (R./Röckner22)

If $\mathscr{P}_0 \subseteq \mathscr{P}$ and $(S(t))_{t \ge 0}, S(t) : \mathscr{P}_0 \to \mathscr{P}_0$, is a semigroup of distributional solutions to the FPE

$$\partial_t \mu_t = \partial_{ij}^2 (a_{ij}(t,\mu_t,x)\mu_t) - \partial_i (b_i(t,\mu_t,x)\mu_t),$$

and if $t \mapsto S(t)\mu_0$, $\mu_0 \in \mathscr{P}_0$, is the unique solution to the linearized FPE

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v}_t = \partial_{ij}^2 ig(\mathsf{a}_{ij}(t, \mathcal{S}(t) \mu_0, x) \mathbf{v}_t ig) - \partial_i ig(b_i(t, \mathcal{S}(t) \mu_0, x) \mathbf{v}_t ig), \mathbf{v}_0 = \mathcal{S}(0) \mu_0,$$

then the superposition solutions $\{\mathbb{P}_{\mu_0}\}_{\mu_0 \in \mathscr{P}_0}$ to the corresponding DDSDE are a nonlinear Markov process with marginals $S(t)\mu_0$, $t \ge 0, \mu_0 \in \mathscr{P}_0$.

We can prove the linearized uniqueness claim, and hence obtain:

The solution path laws $\{\mathbb{P}_{u_0}\}_{u_0 \in L^1(\rho d_X) \cap L^{\infty}}$ to the nl-gen-per-OU-equation, obtained as superposition solutions of the semigroup solution to the weighted FPE, are a nonlinear Markov process.

Thank you for your attention!